REMARKS

In the Office Action, Claims 1-11 are pending and stand rejected. In response, Claims 1 and 8 are amended, no claims are cancelled and no claims are added. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of pending claims in view of the above amendments and the following remarks.

I. Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0186713 to Gomas et al. ("Gomas") in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2005/0015255 to Kaye et al. ("Kaye") and further in view of official notice as evidenced by U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0070001 to Belknap et al. ("Belknap"). Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

Regarding Claim 1, Claim 1 recites:

1. A communication service system based on an open Application Programming Interface (API) for disabled persons, comprising:

. . .

an open API communication server unit for registering the communication characteristics of the terminal unit and <u>an opposite user terminal unit to establish communication with a terminal of an opposite user and providing voice and/or text communication services for the disabled person depending on the registered communication characteristics of the terminal unit and <u>the opposite user terminal unit</u>, wherein communication characteristics of the opposite user terminal unit are registered for a disabled-person</u>

Gomas is generally directed to a portable electronic device that includes a user interface that is adapted for operation by a print-disabled individual using a text to speech converter and an audio output. Gomas further describes an electronic distribution system in which a server communicates with a number of remote portable electronic listening units and distributes content obtained by interfacing automatically with a number of content providers to provide, for example, a virtual newsstand for the blind and print-handicapped. (See pg. 1, para. 11.)

However, Gomas does not disclose or suggest a system that enables communication with an opposite user having communication characteristics that are registered for a disabled person, as in Claim 1.

As correctly recognized by the Examiner, <u>Gomas</u> does not specifically disclose a communication characteristic that one registered with respect to disabled persons and non-disabled persons. As a result, the Examiner cites <u>Kaye</u>.

<u>Kaye</u> generally relates to a method for assisting users, such as disabled persons, to use processing equipment including photocopiers and sorting machines. (<u>See Abstract.</u>) <u>Kaye</u> describes that a call for help is received at a remote service bureau, and based on a user profile and an equipment profile, assistance is provided to the user from the remote service bureau such, based at least partly upon the user profile and the equipment profile. However, <u>Kaye</u> fails to describe a communication that is established where an opposite user terminal unit has communication characteristics that are registered for a disabled person.

As disclosed by <u>Kaye</u>, the remote service bureau to which the call is communicated does not have communication characteristics that are registered for a disabled person, as in Claim 1. Hence, no combination of <u>Gomas</u> in view of <u>Kaye</u> can disclose, teach, or suggest an open API communication server unit for registering the communication characteristics of the terminal unit and an opposite user terminal unit to establish communication with the terminal of the opposite user, wherein the communication characteristics of the opposite user terminal unit are registered for a disabled person, as in Claim 1. Furthermore, <u>Belknap</u> fails to rectify the deficiency of <u>Gomas</u> and <u>Kaye</u> to teach or suggest each of the features of Claim 1.

For each of the above reasons, therefore, Claim 1 and all claims which depend on Claim 1, are patentable over the combination of <u>Gomas</u> in view of <u>Kaye</u> and further in view of <u>Belknap</u>, as well as the other references of record. Consequently, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the §103(a) rejection of Claims 1-7.

Each of Applicants' other independent claims contains limitations similar to those in Claim 1. Therefore, all of Applicants' other independent claims, and all claims which depend on them, are patentable over the cited art, for similar reasons. Consequently, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the §103(a) rejection of Claims 8-11.

DEPENDENT CLAIMS

In view of the above remarks, a specific discussion of the dependent claims is considered to be unnecessary. Therefore, Applicant's silence regarding any dependent claim is not to be interpreted as agreement with, or acquiescence to, the rejection of such claim or as waiving any argument regarding that claim.

.

PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

Per 37 C.F.R. 1.136(a) and in connection with the Office Action mailed on January 11, 2008, Applicant respectfully petitions Commissioner for a one (1) month extension of time, extending the period for response to May 11, 2008. Please charge Deposit Account No. 02-266 in the amount of \$60.00 to cover the petition filing fee for a 37 C.F.R. 1.17(a)(1) small entity.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, it is believed that all claims now pending (1) are in proper form, (2) are neither obvious nor anticipated by the relied upon art of record, and (3) are in condition for allowance. A Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited at the earliest possible date. If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference would be useful in moving the application forward to allowance, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned at (310) 207-3800.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2666 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17, particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR, & ZAFMAN LLP

Dated: May 9, 2008

Joseph Luzz, Reg. No. 43,765

1279 Oakmead Parkway Sunnyvale, California 94085-4040 Telephone (310) 207-3800 Facsimile (408) 720-8383 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

Calver

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being submitted electronically via EFS Web on the date shown below to the United States Patent and

Trademark Office.

Alexandra Y. Caluen

May 9, 2008